



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/528,832

03/23/2005

Valerio Tognazzo

A-9494

3737

20741

7590

03/09/2009

HOFFMAN WASSON & GITLER, P.C.

CRYSTAL CENTER 2, SUITE 522

2461 SOUTH CLARK STREET

ARLINGTON, VA 22202-3843

EXAMINER

WU, IVES J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/09/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/528,832

Applicant(s)

TOGNAZZO, VALERIO

Examiner

DUANE SMITH

Art Unit

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DUANE SMITH.(3) Mitchell Wasson(for applicant).(2) Ives Wu.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3-5-09.Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1. proposed new claim.Identification of prior art discussed: Starr et al article.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed that applicant's invention only produces snow flakes; while in Starr et al ther is combination of snow crystals and water. discussed prosed amdt see attached. would agree to enter AF final wherein applicant would limit the claims to only snowflakes to define over the Starr et al article.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/DUANE SMITH/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1797